

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SUNDARAM 09/176.580 10/21/98 R 501.12-0460 **EXAMINER** MMC1/0905 PETER S DARDI VERBITSKY.G WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 1600 INTERNATIONAL CENTRE 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH 2859 MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-3319 DATE MAILED: 09/05/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/176,580

Applicant(s)

Sundaram et al.

Advisory Action

Examiner

Gail V rbitsky

Group Art Unit 2859



TH	E PE	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) [expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) [2	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date d	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The nwhich the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appe peric	llant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any d for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap but	plica : is N	nt's response to the final rejection, filed on has been considered with the following effect, DT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
	NO NO	roposed amendment(s): iill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. iill not be entered because: they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. TE: Claim 2: Boutaghou et al. Pat. No. '5808184 discloses a device comprising a trailing edge 16 connecting an ABS 14 to a top surface of a slider 12; sensors 18-20 are located on the ABS (Fig. 1 and col. 6. lines 6-7).000000000000000000000000000000000000
		y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	The for a	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:
	The Exar	iffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the inner in the final rejection.
	Clair Clair	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed: s objected to: 2-20
	The _l	roposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.
		the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
	Othe	